

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,225	06/20/2003	Robert F. Burkholder	JK01507	9167
28268 73	590 07/10/2006	EXAMINER		
•	& DECKER CORP	GILLAN, RYAN P		
	PA ROAD, TW199		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TOWSON, MI	D 21286		3746	_

DATE MAILED: 07/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/601,225	BURKHOLDER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ryan P. Gillan	3746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. Deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unit	This action is non-final. lowance except for formal matt		ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to othe drawing(s) be held in abeyar orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.13	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Book * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stage)
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)	8) Paper No(s	Gummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5B/08) 5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

The following Office Action is considered Non-Final due to new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 13 and 41-43 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nolan (6,375,437) in view of Kojima et al. (4,655,801). Nolan teaches an air compressor assembly, comprising: an air tank (24) for containing air at an elevated pressure. The air tank having an air inlet port (connected to line 28) and an air outlet port (connected to line 32); an air compressor (14) for supplying air for storage in the air tank through a first tubing (28), the first tubing connecting the air inlet port to the air compressor (clearly seen in figure 1); a second tubing (32) connecting the air outlet port to a manifold assembly (36), the compressed air in the air tank is discharged through the air outlet port, the second tubing, and the manifold assembly during air usage (col. 3 lines 33-43). The air compressor assembly is of a portable type; the air inlet port is positioned at a top wall of the air tank (clearly seen in figure 1).
- 3. Referring to claims 41-43 Nolan teaches the supplying of an air tank for storing air at an elevated pressure and discharging condensate within the air tank, the air being released from the air tank during air usage; the discharged condensate and

Art Unit: 3746

compressed air are routed through an air outlet tubing, to an air powered tool (col. 1 lines 6-9). The discharging step is performed so that the condensate is discharged in small amounts not harmful to the air powered tool (depending on orientation of the apparatus, condensate would inherently discharge along with the compressed air due to gravity and the location of the discharge port).

- 4. Nolan fails to teach the air outlet port to the compressor positioned at a bottom portion of the air tank. Kojima et al. teaches an air outlet port (21) positioned at a bottom portion (clearly seen in figure 1) of a compressed air tank (3 and 4) and an open end of a hollow conduit (63) positioned at the bottom portion of the air tank (clearly seen in figure 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the air tank taught by Nolan to incorporate the air outlet port as taught by Kojima et al. as a means of filtering out unwanted moisture in the compressed air (col. 3 lines 48-68).
- 5. Claims 15 and 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Westphal (5,399,072) in view of Kojima et al. (4,655,801). Westphal teaches an air compressor assembly, comprising: an air tank (14) for containing air at an elevated pressure. The air tank has an air access port therein (connected to the pressure gauge 24), an air compressor (32) for supplying air for storage in the air tank, a first tubing (36) connecting the air compressor to a manifold assembly (28), and a second tubing (clearly seen in figure 4 connecting 28 to the tank) connecting the manifold assembly to the air access port. The compressed air in the air tank is discharged through the air

.

Art Unit: 3746

access port, the second tubing, and the manifold assembly during air usage (col. 4 lines 46-64). The air compressor assembly is of a portable type.

- 6. Westphal fails to teach the air outlet port to the compressor positioned at a bottom portion of the air tank. Kojima et al. teaches an air access port (21) positioned at a bottom portion (clearly seen in figure 1) of a compressed air tank (3 and 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the air tank taught by Westphal to incorporate the air access port as taught by Kojima et al. as a means of filtering out unwanted moisture in the compressed air (col. 3 lines 48-68).
- 7. Claims 3-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nolan in view of Kojima et al., Moore (4,514,019) and Grainger (Industrial and Commercial Equipment and Supplies, General Catalog No. 308, pgs. 1600-1603 (1991)). The combination of Nolan and Kojima et al. teach the limitations of claims 1, 2 and 13, but fail to teach the portable air compressor assembly is enclosed in a shroud and shroud is made of plastic. The shroud includes a handle to allow the portable air compressor assembly to be lifted and transported from place to place and a control panel to allow operation of the portable air compressor assembly to be controlled. The air outlet port positioned at a bottom wall of the air tank and the air inlet port including a check valve for preventing air from flowing from the air tank to the air compressor.
- 8. Moore teaches a portable air compressor assembly is enclosed in a shroud (54, 56 & 60) and the shroud is made of plastic (col. 4 line 40). The shroud includes a handle (68) to allow the portable air compressor assembly to be lifted and transported

Application/Control Number: 10/601,225

Art Unit: 3746

from place to place and a control panel to allow operation of the portable air compressor assembly to be controlled. The air inlet port includes a check valve (167) for preventing air from flowing from the air tank to the air compressor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Nolan to incorporate the plastic shroud, handle and control panel as taught by Moore as a means creating a portable self-contained apparatus (Abstract).

Page 5

- 9. Claims 17-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Westphal in view of Kojima et al., Moore (4,514019) and Grainger (Industrial and Commercial Equipment and Supplies, General Catalog No. 308, pgs. 1600-1603 (1991)). The combination of Westphal and Kojima et al. teach the limitations of claims 15 and 16, but fail to teach the portable air compressor assembly is enclosed in a shroud and the shroud is made of plastic. The shroud includes a handle to allow the portable air compressor assembly to be lifted and transported from place to place and a control panel to allow operation of the portable air compressor assembly to be controlled. The air outlet port positioned at a bottom wall of the air tank and the air inlet port including a check valve for preventing air from flowing from the air tank to the air compressor.
- 10. Moore teaches a portable air compressor assembly is enclosed in a shroud (54, 56 & 60) and the shroud is made of plastic (col. 4 line 40). The shroud includes a handle (68) to allow the portable air compressor assembly to be lifted and transported from place to place and a control panel to allow operation of the portable air compressor assembly to be controlled. The air inlet port includes a check valve (167) for preventing

Application/Control Number: 10/601,225

Art Unit: 3746

air from flowing from the air tank to the air compressor. The air access port located on the bottom of the tank, given the orientation of the apparatus is not fixed and given its portable nature, is usable under different orientations. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Westphal to incorporate the plastic shroud, handle and control panel as taught by Moore as a means creating a portable self-contained apparatus (Abstract).

Page 6

- 11. Claims 28, 29 and 39 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Westphal in view of Strubel (4,828,131). Westphal teaches an air compressor assembly, comprising: an air tank (14) for containing air at an elevated pressure. The air tank having an air access port therein (connected to the pressure gauge 24). An air compressor (32) for supplying air for storage in the air tank. A first tubing (36) connecting the air compressor to a manifold assembly (28). A second tubing (clearly seen in figure 4 connecting 28 to the tank) connecting the manifold assembly to the air access port. The compressed air in the air tank is discharged through the air access port, the second tubing, and the manifold assembly during air usage (col. 4 lines 46-64). The air compressor assembly is of a portable type and the air access port is positioned at a top wall of the air tank (clearly seen in figure 1).
- 12. Westphal fails to teach the air access port being an open end of a centrally hollow conduit positioned inside the air tank. Strubel teaches an air access port (6) being an open end of a centrally hollow conduit (5) positioned inside the air tank (1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

Art Unit: 3746

modify Westphal to incorporate the hollow conduit as taught by Strubel as a means of making possible the greatest emptying of fluid from the tank (col. 1 lines 45-52).

- 13. Claims 30-38 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Westphal in view of Strubel and in further view of Moore. Westphal in view of Strubel teach the limitations of claims 28, 29 and 39, but fail to teach the portable air compressor assembly is enclosed in a shroud, the shroud is made of plastic, wherein the shroud includes a handle to allow the portable air compressor assembly to be lifted and transported from place to place and a control panel to allow operation of the portable air compressor assembly to be controlled. The air outlet port positioned at a bottom wall of the air tank and the air inlet port including a check valve for preventing air from flowing from the air tank to the air compressor.
- 14. Moore teaches a portable air compressor assembly is enclosed in a shroud (54, 56 & 60), the shroud is made of plastic (col. 4 line 40). The shroud includes a handle (68) to allow the portable air compressor assembly to be lifted and transported from place to place and a control panel to allow operation of the portable air compressor assembly to be controlled. The air inlet port includes a check valve (167) for preventing air from flowing from the air tank to the air compressor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Westphal to incorporate the plastic shroud, handle and control panel as taught by Moore as a means creating a portable self-contained apparatus (Abstract).

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 3746

15. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-27 and 41-43 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. As cited above Kojima et al. teach the claimed limitation of an air outlet/access port positioned at the bottom of an air tank and the motivation to combine the references is also cited above. Applicant also argues that Moore is not analogous prior art, however, this is not persuasive because although Moore is directed to dental equipment, it simply illustrates an application for an air compressor. The air compressor (100) taught by Moore, which also includes an air tank (clearly seen in figure 6), teaches a portable assembly for the compressor and therefore would naturally be combinable with other air compressors in the art. The same argument holds true in response to the Applicant's argument that Moore is non analogous prior art in reference to Westphal.

16. In regard to the arguments presented in view of claims 28-40, that Strubel is not analogous art and does not identify the problems solved by the Applicant. This is not found to be persuasive because the tank taught by Strubel contains pressurized/compressed gas and therefore is easily capable of use in conjunction with an air compressor. It is also unnecessary for for the prior art to address the same problems identified by the Applicant as long as the structure taught by the prior art satisfies the limitations of the claims.

Conclusion

17. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented

claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan P. Gillan whose telephone number is 571-272-8381. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am - 4:30 pm; Monday - Friday.

The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Thorpe can be reached on 571-272-4444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RPG

ANTHONY D. STASHICK PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 9